

HARCHAND SINGH AND OTHERS
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for review applicants-petitioners.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab.

**

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

On 05.01.2021, the writ petition was dismissed by a detailed judgment.

It has been noticed that a Letter Patent Appeal was filed before the Hon'ble Division Bench in which after hearing the arguments, the judgment was reserved. The petitioners thereafter filed an application for placing on record the proceedings of meeting held on 22.12.2020 alongwith Form No.36. The Hon'ble Division Bench after finding that the aforesaid documents were not placed before the Single Judge, granted permission to the petitioners to withdraw the appeal with permission to file an application before the Single Judge.

This Bench has heard learned counsel for the petitioners at length.

On careful reading of the proceedings of meeting of the Vigilance Cell held on 22.12.2020, it is apparent that in the facts of the case, the Cell did not find it appropriate to cancel the scheduled caste certificate issued to Jagdish Singh. However, it is apparent that the Vigilance Cell has not recorded the finding that the persons belonging to '*sikriband*' caste as claimed by the petitioners herein belong to Scheduled Castes.

In the aforesaid case, in the absence of any relevant material, the order was passed. Still further, the orders passed in the present case on 23.03.2020 and 04.04.2020 by the Vigilance Cell as well as State Level Scrutiny Committee, respectively, were never brought to the notice of the Vigilance Cell when subsequent order dated 22.12.2002 was passed.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to review the judgment dated 05.01.2021, is made out.

Dismissed.

March 22, 2021
Ayub/nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE