

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No.2181 of 2021
Date of Decision: March 04, 2021

Savita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and other family members from respondent Nos.4 to 10, and further to take action against them on the basis of the representation dated 18.01.2021 (Annexure P-4). It is further prayed that respondent No.2 be directed to get the FIR No.8 dated 11.01.2021, under sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, 1860, Police Station, Division No.6, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana investigated from a senior police officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that respondent Nos.4 to 6 are engaged in manufacturing and selling the cycle parts and their workshop is situated opposite the house of the petitioner and respondent Nos.7 to 10 are the employees of respondent Nos.4 to 6. He

submits that on 11.01.2021, the private respondents gave beatings to the nephew of the petitioner, namely, Arman and when the petitioner tried to rescue him, then the private respondents gave her slaps and kick blows. He submits that the petitioner had given a representation to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana (Respondent No.2), however, no action has been taken upon the said representation, therefore, he prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by the learned counsel that on the basis of the statement given by Pardeep Singh (respondent No.4), a case FIR No.8 dated 11.01.2021, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station, Division No.6, Ludhiana (Annexure P-3) already stands registered against the petitioner and her nephew Arman and others and the petitioner is on bail.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this court finds that the representation given by the petitioner amounts to cross-version. However, since the alternative remedy is available with the petitioner, therefore, no case is made out for issuance of directions to the respondents.

Petition is dismissed.

March 04, 2021
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No