

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.10294 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:22.11.2021

(Heard through VC)

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Singh Borwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

-. -

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.38776 of 2021

Prayer in the application is for preponing the date of hearing of the main petition, which is now listed for 21.04.2022.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

Main Petition

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.74 dated 29.05.2020 registered under Sections 336, 506 IPC at Police Station Mehal Kalan, District Barnala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 25.02.2021 (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations that the accused-petitioner hurled abuses to him and fired

two shots in air from his revolver. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from CJM Barnala stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.74 dated 29.05.2020 registered under Sections 336, 506 IPC at Police Station Mehal Kalan, District Barnala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

November 22, 2021
Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No