

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

**CRWP-2073-2021
Decided on : 01.03.2021**

Gulbahar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Ashok K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus with the direction to respondent No.2 to release the detainee, as detailed in para 4 of the petition, who is stated to be bonded labourer of respondents No. 4 to 7.

It has been *inter alia* alleged that the detainee are being compelled to work in the brick kiln of respondents No. 4 to 7 against his wishes and without wages being paid to him, which is in direct contravention of Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The learned counsel has referred to the observations made by this Court in **LPA No.32 of 2013**, titled as, “**Murti v. The State of Punjab and others**”, which are as under:-

“It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant in the writ petition are that the alleged detainees mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at the brick kiln of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 are being kept as bonded labours. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that

the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and set-aside/modify the order dated 09.01.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition.”

In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 i.e. The District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, District Sonapat, to treat this petition as a complaint and to take immediate and appropriate action as may be required in accordance with law at the earliest but not later than one week from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the criminal writ petition.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

March 01, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No