

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(215-2)

**CRM-M-10381-2021
Date of decision:- 19.08.2021**

Danish @ Danish Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.69 dated 21.03.2020 under Sections 307, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Gate Hakima, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

Upon instructions from, ASI Gurjit Singh, State counsel submits that the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender on 03.02.2021.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Lavesh versus State (NCT of Delhi) (2012) 8 SCC 730* has held that if a person has been declared as a proclaimed offender, he is not entitled to the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

Petition is dismissed.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

19.08.2021
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No