

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203-2

CRM-M-11624-2021

Date of decision: 13.12.2021

Romandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. H.S. Thiara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking appropriate directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to immediately arrest respondent No.5-Navjot Chandi in case FIR No.76 dated 06.09.2019 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar. The petitioner has also prayed that inquiry in the FIR in question may be marked to some private investigation agency or to some senior officers and to add the names of respondents No.6 to 9 in the FIR as complaint was given against five persons but FIR was registered only against respondent No.5.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

Upon receipt of a complaint disclosing commission of a cognizable offence, neither the accused nor the complainant can have a

say as to which agency or officer should investigate the crime in question. Strangely a prayer has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner that inquiry in the FIR in question may be marked to some private investigating agency or some senior officers. Learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to satisfy this Court as to how the investigation in this case can be marked to a private investigating agency. This Court would, therefore, desist from exercising its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. and issue directions as sought for. Moreover, in case the petitioner is aggrieved of some inaction on the part of the investigating agency, instead of knocking on the doors of this Court, she could be well advised to avail of alternative remedies available under the provisions of law.

A prayer has also been made by the learned counsel that appropriate directions be issued to respondents No.2 to 4 to immediately arrest respondent No.5-Navjot Chandi. No order is called for in the wake of order of even date passed in **CRM-M-42430-2019 titled as 'Navjot Chandi Vs. State of Punjab and another'**, whereby respondent No.5 has been extended the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, as such, this prayer has been rendered infructuous.

Accordingly, the instant petition, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

13.12.2021

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No