

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2107-2021

Date of decision-03.03.2021

Lovepreet Kaur and another**...Petitioners**

Vs.

State of Haryana and others**...Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Mahesh Saxena, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5, as they have solemnized marriage on 07.08.2020 against their wishes.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed that marriage was performed by the parties on 07.08.2020 and after sometime, petitioner No.1 joined the company of her parents (private respondents).

Learned counsel contends that now as the father of petitioner No.1 is against their alliance, therefore, she has left the house and joined the company of petitioner No.2 once again. He submits that despite a representation given to Superintendent of Police, Karnal, no action has been taken so far.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the alleged apprehension of threat to life portrayed by the petitioners in the petition is misplaced, particularly when representation does not contain

the averments contained in the petition. The petition does not inspire any confidence.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

03.03.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No