

In virtual Court

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2204-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2021**

Rajneesh Kumari and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

This is 3rd petition praying for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners on the ground that they have allegedly performed marriage.

First petition i.e. CRWP-1013-2021 was listed before Justice Manoj Bajaj on 12.02.2021, in which following order was passed: -

“After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition and prays for withdrawal of the same.

The prayer is accepted.

The petitioner is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.”

Thereafter, the petitioners, by changing the memo of parties and by making petitioner No.2 as petitioner No.1, filed second petition i.e. CRWP-1986-2021, which was listed on 26.02.2021 and following order was passed: -

“The petitioners have approached this Court, by way of filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,

In virtual Court

CRWP-2204-2021

-2-

seeking protection of their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.

During the course of arguments, learned State counsel, assisted by learned counsel for respondent No.4/father of petitioner No.2, submits that a similar petition was filed by petitioner Nos.1 and 2, bearing CRWP-1013-2021, which was dismissed as withdrawn by a co-ordinate Bench on 12.02.2021.

Faced with this learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.”

Now again the petitioners have filed this 3rd petition again changing the memo of parties, by impleading Rajneesh Kumari as petitioner No.1 and also by adding the other family members with similar prayer. It appears that the petitioners are habitual of filing repeated petitions with similar prayer despite the fact that earlier two petitions were dismissed as withdrawn.

Therefore, finding no ground to entertain this 3rd petition, same is dismissed with costs of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, concerned.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

05.03.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No