

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
202 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CRM-M-10050-2021

Date of decision:24.08.2021

JASWINDER SINGH GREWAL

..*PETITIONER*

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA

...*RESPONDENT*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Senior Central Government Counsel,
for Union of India.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this application is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in Crime Case No. 23/2019 dated 06.05.2019 registered under Sections 8, 22, 29 & 60 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Narcotics Control Bureau, Chandigarh.

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. He asserts that even as per the case of the prosecution, the only role, which can be said to be of the petitioner, is that his documents were used for registration of a Pharmaceuticals Company i.e. M/S Fairdeal Pharmaceuticals Company. No further active role has been attributed to the petitioner. His assertion is that the petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2019 and as of now, he has completed more than 2 years and thus, the petitioner be granted the concession of bail. He has further pointed out that the applicant is 70%

handicapped and, therefore, the involvement of the petitioner appears to be not correct and, he has been falsely implicated in the case.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent has opposed the prayer made in the application by asserting that had the petitioner not supplied his documents, the firm would not have been registered. The role of the petitioner is more than what is apparent and for which, the trial is in progress. He contends that the next date of hearing before the trial Court is 25.08.2021 for evidence. Prayer has, thus, been made for dismissal of the present application.

Having gone through the facts and circumstances of the case especially recoveries, which have been effected from the co-accused, I do not find any ground for accepting the prayer for grant of regular bail and, therefore, dismiss the same. This, I state in the light of the fact that the application of the co-accused was dismissed by this Court by passing a speaking order on 23.09.2020 in CRM-M-44719-2019, the details of the matter are given therein as also the reasons.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

August 24, 2021

pj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No