

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(240)

CRWP-1681-2020

Date of decision:- 06.09.2021

Tasleem

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Mayank Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana for respondents No.1 to 3.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus with a roving writ to appoint a Warrant Officer to visit the brick kiln of the private respondents and get the detenues, mentioned in para No.4 of the petition, released from illegal confinement.

In compliance to order 13.02.2020 passed by this Court, an affidavit of District Magistrate, Panchkula-respondent No.2 has been filed wherein it has been deposed that the statement of all the 41 workers, who were working in the brick kiln, M/s Bharat Bricks Company, have been recorded and they have stated that they were satisfied with their remuneration paid to them by the owner and they have gone to their native

village in a vehicle arranged by the workers themselves. It has been submitted in the affidavit that no bonded labour was found at the time of inspection and even the petitioner was not found at the brick kiln.

In view of the above affidavit, no further order is called for.

Petition is disposed of.

06.09.2021
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No