

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-10228-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 10.03.2021

GAURAV @ GOLU

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Parvesh Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.665 dated 31.07.2020, under Sections 379-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is alleged that two unknown boys had snatched the mobile phone of the petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner is 20 year of age. The petitioner is also involved in other cases wherein he is on bail. The petitioner is in custody for over 7 months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Jasmer Singh, contends that 4 out of 7 prosecution witnesses have been examined. He also contends that the petitioner is involved in other cases of similar nature and is in custody for a period of 7 months.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner is 20 year of age, he is in custody for over 7 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the

to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, the petitioner shall appear at Police Station City Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar every alternate Monday till the conclusion of the trial.

10.03.2021

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No