

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-8205-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 12.01.2021

Tirath Singh @ Tirath Dhilwa and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No.38 dated 02.05.2017 under Section 392 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 395, 397, 307, 473, 201 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Banur, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that four prosecution witnesses i.e. PW-1 to PW-4 have been examined and they have not supported the prosecution case qua the involvement of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that the allegations against the petitioners are that they along with other accused had committed dacoity and looted ₹1,33,00,000/- from the van carrying cash for transportation to the bank. He also contends that petitioner No.1 is involved in 23 more cases while petitioner No.2 is involved in several other cases.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been acquitted or discharged in other cases and this is the only case pending against them.

Heard through video conferencing.

The allegations against the petitioners are serious. They are alleged to have looted a huge amount of cash from the bank van. Only 04 prosecution witnesses have been examined. Therefore, I do not find it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners at this stage and the petition stands dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No