

133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-666-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.08.2021

Ravinder Kumar ...Petitioner
vs.
Dr. Mahabir Singh and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentionally and willfully disobeying order dated 04.07.2019, in CWP-17719-2019.

3. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to comply with order dated 04.07.2019, in CWP-17719-2019 but now the respondents have passed order dated 22.07.2021, deciding representations dated 23.11.2017, 22.03.2018 and 16.10.2018, in terms of order of the writ Court and that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 22.07.2021, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. Learned DAG, Haryana, also states that in view of order dated

22.07.2021, having been passed by the respondents in terms of order dated 04.07.2019, in CWP-17719-2019, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly order dated 22.07.2021, has been passed by the respondents in compliance of order dated 04.07.2019, in CWP-17719-2019, though belatedly. In view of order dated 22.07.2021 having been passed in compliance of order dated 04.07.2019, in CWP-17719-2019, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 22.07.2021, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

7. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.08.2021.

'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No