

101.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-31085-2020 IN/AND
CRM-M-7093-2020
Date of decision: 19.02.2021.

NARINDER KUMAR @ SONU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Maneet Kumar Arya, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

CRM-31085-2020

Prayer in this application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is to prepone the date of hearing of the main case, which is otherwise fixed for 22.04.2021.

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed and the hearing of the petition is preponed and the same is taken on board today itself.

CRM-M-7093-2020

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.129, dated

24.08.2017 registered under Section 61-1-14 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station City Batala.

Learned State counsel has apprised this Court that the petitioner has been arrested in the case.

In view of the fact that the present petition is to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the petitioner has been arrested during the pendency of present petition, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, in case the petitioner moves before the trial Court so as to seek regular bail, the trial Court shall make an endeavour to decide the regular bail expeditiously.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

19.02.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No