

In virtual Court

CRM-M-9460-2021

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9460-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.04.2021

Mithu Ram and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.17 dated 18.02.2021 under Sections 323, 325, 341, 354, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station City-1 Mansa, District Mansa.

While granting interim bail to petitioners No.1 & 2, following order was passed by this Court on 01.03.2021: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.4 has been arrested and petition qua him has been rendered infructuous.

The petition qua petitioner No.4 stands disposed of as

infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also contends that the incident is the outcome of a scuffle between neighbours and the injuries are on the non-vital parts of the body. He also contends that co-accused, namely, Hardeep Singh has also been arrested.

Issue notice to the respondent.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. However, she upon instructions from ASI Daler Singh contends that the injury, which is attributed to petitioner No.3, is a fracture on the right arm of the complainant with an iron rod.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he wants to withdraw the petition qua petitioner No. 3.

The petition qua petitioner No. 3 stands dismissed as withdrawn...”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioners No.1 & 2 have joined the investigation and are not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amrik Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that petitioners No.1 & 2 are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to petitioners No.1 & 2 vide order dated 01.03.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., as petition

In virtual Court

CRM-M-9460-2021

-3-

qua petitioner No.3 already stands dismissed as withdrawn and since petitioner No.4 has been arrested, present petition qua him was rendered as infructuous vide order dated 01.03.2021.

01.04.2021
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No