

211.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9585-2021

Date of Decision: 06.10.2021

GURSEWAK SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0266, dated 08.12.2020, registered under Sections 452, 506, 323, 427, 148, 149 of IPC (Sections 313, 325 IPC added lateron) at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said case. He states that the injury attributed to the petitioner is that he gave a rod blow on the back of the complainant and the said injury was simple in nature. The petitioner is in

custody since 08.12.2020. The trial in the case will take some time to conclude.

At this stage, Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record. He opposes the grant of regular bail by contending that there are allegations that the petitioner herein had beaten up the daughter-in-law of the complainant which resulted in miscarriage.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in court today, which is taken on record. He would also oppose the grant of bail to the petitioner referring to seriousness of the allegations.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 08.12.2020 and the trial in the case will take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars any longer. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

06.10.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No