

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRM-M-9539 of 2021
Date of Decision: 03.03.2021

Pardeep Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

2)

CRM-M-9663 of 2021

Amarjit Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

3)

CRM-M-9666 of 2021

Gurpal Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Harinder Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Sartaj Singh Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

Vide these petitions, the petitioners are seeking a direction to
respondents no.2 and 3 to take appropriate legal action against respondents no.4 to

17 regarding the grievances raised by the petitioners in their initial representation dated 25.10.2020 (Annexure P-2), followed by reminders dated 15.12.2020 and 19.01.2021 (Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively), as the private respondents benefitted themselves to the tune of Rs.90,00,000/- from the petitioners by alluring them to invest their hard earned money in the schemes floated by the private respondents (as alleged).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners being similarly placed as the petitioners in CRM-M-37741 of 2020 and 38608 of 2020, they would be satisfied if a similar direction is issued in these petitions also, as was issued in those cases on 16.11.2020 and 23.11.2020 respectively (copies Annexures P-7 and P-8 respectively with CRM-M-9539 of 2021).

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, these petitions are disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendents of Police of the Districts of Pathankot, Hoshiarpur and SBS Nagar (Nawanshahr), to go into the representations made by the petitioners and to take decision on them as per law within a period of one month from today.

Naturally, whether any offence is made out against the private respondents in each petition or not, would be seen by the SSP concerned and an order passed accordingly, within the said period; with this court having made no comment whatsoever on the merits of the matter.

A photocopy of this order be also placed on the file of the other connected cases.

March 03, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No