

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1993-2021

Date of decision: 26.02.2021

Sunaina and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. A. S. Bhatti, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Viranjeet S. Mahal, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court, by way of filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the U.T., Chandigarh, submits that Incharge, Police Station Sector 39, Chandigarh has recorded the statement of petitioner No. 2 and his mother Nirmala, wherein they have stated that petitioner No. 1 Sunaina is residing with her parents after marriage and they do not want any protection. The statements are taken on record as Mark 'A' and 'B'.

In view of the above, no further action is called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

26.02.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No