

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-6217-2021

Date of Decision: 05.04.2021

Fullerton India Credit Company Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

District Magistrate, Gurugram, Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.**

PRESENT: Ms. Ekta Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Toni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through Video Conferencing on account of COVID-19 Pandemic.

Only grievance of the petitioner is that the order has been passed by the District Magistrate under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002. However, the same is not being implemented.

We, thus, propose that the petitioner may make a representation to the District Magistrate which may be decided at the earliest. Learned State counsel has no objection to this.

Under these circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with the observation that in case the petitioner makes a representation to the District Magistrate within two weeks from today, same shall be decided by way of a speaking order within two weeks thereafter.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2021
gbs

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**