

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9302-2021
Date of Decision: 18.03.2021**

Sahil Grover

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Naresh K. Kapur, Advocate,
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.72, dated 20.04.2018, under Sections 406 & 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. Both the petitioner and respondent No.2/complainant are present in person.

[3]. Attention of the Court is drawn to the Compromise Agreement arrived at between them on 23.09.2020 (Annexure P-2).

[4]. It has been made out that the parties had amicably resolved their dispute. Their marital relationship has since been dissolved by a Decree of Divorce by mutual consent on 15.02.2021 by the Family Court No.3, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi, after which the complainant/respondent No.2 is not inclined to pursue her FIR.

[5]. An amount of ₹1,20,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore and Twenty Lacs only) has already been paid to the respondent No.2 in terms of their Agreement and the balance of ₹40,00,000/- (Rupees Forty Lacs only) by way of D.D. No.801769 dated 23.02.2021 is delivered by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to Respondent No.2.

[6]. Both the parties have stated before the Court that they have no grievance outstanding against each other.

[7]. The parties in question are both Delhi based. So, it would not be desirable to put any additional hardship by way of recording their statements before the Ld. Illaqa Magistrate which is usually done in such matters, as they have themselves stated before this Court to the above effect.

[8]. In view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[9]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.72, dated 20.04.2018, under Sections 406 & 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

18.03.2021

Bhumika

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
2. Whether reportable: No

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE