

Sandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sunita and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

On 31.8.2012 notice was issued in the petition. In pursuance to the same, Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate, appears for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner though has prayed for grant of effective opportunity for summoning the witness in order to prove the income of respondent No.1-wife.

Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that the same witness was examined by the petitioner in divorce petition pending between the parties, who had been examined on 15.12.2018 and the income of respondent No.1-wife was deposed to be of Rs.565/- per eight hours. He submits that instead of summoning the said witness again for the same purpose his earlier statement recorded in divorce petition can be read and taken into consideration in this petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also agrees to the same. As both the counsel are *ad idem* to the above course, this petition is disposed of accordingly.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**14.9.2021**
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No