

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9358-2021

Date of decision-03.03.2021

Ranjit Kaur @ Rano**....Petitioner****Vs.****State of Punjab****...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail pending trial, in case FIR No.36 dated 06.07.2019 under Sections 302, 323 and 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sehna, District Barnala.

The FIR was registered on the statement of Sukhvir Singh @ Lakhvir Singh, wherein it was alleged that he works as a labourer and has three sisters and all are unmarried. On 06.07.2019, when he returned from his work, at about 8 p.m., his mother and sisters were present in the house and Amandeep Singh, who is cousin of complainant came to their house. Thereafter, father of complainant, namely, Sambar Singh came with wooden log in his hand, who started abusing complainant's mother-Mandeep Kaur and gave wooden log blow on her head. On raising alarm by complainant and his cousin, Sambar Singh ran away from the spot. In the meantime, maternal grand-father of complainant took victim to the hospital, where she

died. It was alleged by the complainant that his father had illicit relations with Ranjit Kaur (petitioner), therefore, he used to fight with his mother. On these broad allegations, FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as no role has been attributed to her and even she was not present at the spot. He submits that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail as the investigation of the case is complete.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer on the ground that the petitioner was named in the FIR and the offence is serious in nature. He on instructions from ASI Makar Singh states that out of total 17 prosecution witnesses, four witnesses have been examined and seven have been given up.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that the trial is in progress and though the material witnesses have been examined, but it seems that trial is likely to conclude in near future.

Resultantly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

03.03.2021

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No