

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

212

**CRM-M-9092-2021 (O & M)
Date of Decision: July 30, 2021**

VIJAY NAIN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijesh Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.183 dated 05.11.2020, under Sections 109, 120-B, 272, 328, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sections 61/3 and 72-A of the Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Mohana, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it was alleged that illicit liquor was being prepared in the house of the petitioner in the village. He, however, contends that the petitioner and his wife are Government Teachers and have been residing at H.No.1529, Sector-23 HUDA, Sonapat and their relatives have been residing at their ancestral house in the village. The petitioner is in custody for about 09 months since his arrest on 05.11.2020.

Learned State counsel contends that the allegations against the petitioner are serious as illicit liquor was being prepared. He upon instructions from ASI Jagdish Chander states that the challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined as yet.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner is in custody for about 09 months, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 30, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No