116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-503-2021
Date of Decision : 07.04.2021

Brij Pal Sharma …Petitioner

Versus

J. Ganesan and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

***

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through VideoConferencing due to Covid-19pandemic.

2. Before notice could be issued, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the instructions received from the petitioner,the respondents have complied with the orders in CWP-5496-2017passed in terms of order in CWP-5897-2003, therefore no further action be taken in the matter.

3. In view of the circumstances prevailing on account of coronavirus pandemic as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner of the respondents having complied with orders in CWP-5496-2017, I am of the view that no action is required to be taken for initiating proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.04.2021
'rajesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

AMIT KHANCHI
2021.04.12 14:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document