

217-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9318-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision:09.12.2021

AMANDEEP KAUR

...Petitioner

Versus

SARVESH KUMAR AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since this Court through an order made on 26.08.2021, especially after rescinding the order, as made by the learned Magistrate concerned, hence declaring the petitioner a proclaimed offender, had proceeded a direction, upon, the petitioner to surrender, before the learned trial Magistrate concerned. Moreover, through order (supra), the petitioner was also directed to move an application before the learned trial Court, for seeking the relief of hers being granted the facility of bail, there alongwith a further direction, was made, upon her, that she shall deposit Rs.10,000/- with PGIMER, Chandigarh. In pursuance of the aforemade directions, the learned counsel for the petitioner, has drawn the attention of this Court, to an order made by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, wherethrough in pursuance of order made, on 26.08.2021, the petitioners furnishing the asked for, personal as well as surety bonds, before the Magistrate concerned. Moreover, the afore imperative deposit has also been made by her.

2. Consequently, since the petitioner is ready to make her personal appearance before the learned Magistrate concerned, without the taint of hers being a proclaimed offender rather attaching to her, therefore, the petition has for all reasons supra, become infructuous, and, is disposed of as such.

3. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of.

09.12.2021

Ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No