

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-14083-CII-2021

COCP No.490 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: December 23, 2021

Mahalaxmi Jhaba

...Petitioner

Versus

Mohit Handa

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Mahabir Singh, Advocate – Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioner.

Ms.Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

CM-14083-CII-2021:

This is an application filed by the petitioner – applicant for pre-
poning the date of hearing of the main case, which is fixed for 10.02.2022.

Notice of the application.

Ms.Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice.

Application is allowed and the main case is taken up on Board
for hearing.

COCP-490-2021 :

The petitioner has filed the instant petition alleging wilful and
deliberate violation of the order dated 03.02.2020 passed by this Court in
CRM-M-4777 of 2020 titled “Mahalaxmi Jhaba vs. State of Haryana and

The aforesaid writ petition was disposed of on 03.02.2020 with the following order:

“In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 31.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.”

This petition was filed with the allegations that the respondents have not complied with the aforesaid directions.

Upon notice, short reply dated 20.04.2021 by way of affidavit of Mohit Handa, IPS, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula has been filed stating that a speaking order on the representation/complaint of the petitioner has been passed. The complaint has been found to be false and directed to be filed to records.

A reading of the speaking order dated 12.04.2021 annexed with the reply, reveals that the Assistant Commissioner of Police submitted enquiry report dated 05.08.2020 finding that no allegations levelled by the petitioner had been found to be true as no cognizable offence had been made out. The speaking order further mentions about 34 complaints made by the petitioner against her family members, neighbours and others since the year 2014, which all had been enquired into and found to be false. The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula agreed with the findings that all the allegations levelled by the petitioner are false. It was concluded that no cognizable offence has been committed warranting any further action in

accordance with law.

In the circumstances, no further proceedings are required in the present petition.

Rule is discharged.

Petition stands disposed of.

December 23, 2021

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

gian

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No