

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CRM-M-8943-2021

Date of Decision:25.02.2021

Lakhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Avik Nehra, Advocate for
Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.44 dated 16.05.2020 under Sections 307, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act (the offences under Section 34 IPC and Sections 54/59 of the Arms Act were added later on), registered at Police Station Govt. Railway Police Amritsar.

As per FIR, the complainant and the petitioner along with other co-accused had an altercation with each other due to quarrel between their children. Because of said altercation, the petitioner had fired a shot, which hit on the left arm of one Beer Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

had nothing to do with the said occurrence and he had never fired any shot and three shots were fired by other co-accused and all the three cartridges have already been recovered. He further submits that neither the petitioner had the pistol, nor he fired the shots.

Heard.

The petitioner fired shot upon Beer Singh, causing injury on his left arm. Hence, there is specific attribution to the petitioner of causing gun-shot injury on the person of Beer singh.

No ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

February 25, 2021
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No