

204 (2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6193-2020

Date of decision-18.08.2021

NATTER SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. L.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.34 dated 18.03.2019 under Sections 18 & 29 NDPS Act 1985, registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Sahib, District Fatehgarh Sahib. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 12.02.2020, whereby, while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner relies on order dated 6.2.2020 (Annexure P-2) vide which concession of anticipatory bail was extended to the co-accused. .

Notice of motion for 27.5.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when

called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-5299-2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Prithvi Raj Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.02.2020 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

18.08.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No