

Through Video Conferencing

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 10.09.2021

(1) CRM-M-8696-2021

Sadhu Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

(2) CRM-M-11364-2021

Narinder Pal Singh @ Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present: - Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-8696-2021.Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-11364-2021.Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate
General, Punjab.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate, for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of two criminal miscellaneous petitions bearing *CRM-M-8696-2021* filed by *Sadhu Singh* and *CRM-M-11364-2021* filed by *Narinder Pal Singh @ Narinder Singh*, arising out of the same FIR No. 219 dated 30.12.2020 under Sections 307/511/323/341/506/427/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the present case is a case of no injury and the petitioners were not the persons who were carrying the pistols.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Buta Singh, has submitted that in fact the present petitioners have been found to be innocent and challan is also to be presented against the other accused.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the anticipatory bail.

This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, more so the fact that after investigation, the present petitioners have been found to be innocent and the present case is a case of no injury, therefore, present petition is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioners in the event of their arrest shall be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and sureties to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

A copy of this order be placed on the connected file.

10.09.2021
preeti

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No