

107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1844-2021

Date of decision-23.02.2021

Veerpal Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7 as they have solemnized marriage on 20.02.2021 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioners have entered into marriage on 20.02.2021 against the wishes of private respondents (parents, brother and aunt of petitioner No.1) and are receiving threats. He submits that representation was given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran (Anexure P-5) on the same day i.e. on 20.02.2021, however, till date no protection has been provided, therefore, he prays for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide protection to the petitioners.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court finds that as per the averments, the petitioners are in love for the last two years and this fact is known to the parents of Veerpal Kaur (petitioner No.1),

but the petitioners received threats on 20.02.2021. A perusal of the marriage certificate dated 20.02.2021 (Anenxure P-4) indicates that at 12.00 p.m. the petitioners solemnized marriage at Mohali and on the same day, they had given representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran. Strangely, on the same day, the petitioners contacted their lawyer for filing the writ petition and supported the pleadings with an affidavit dated 20.02.2021.

The sequence of events pleaded by the petitioners in their petition do not seem to be probable and it is apparent that the petitioners have filed this writ petition hastily, without even waiting for any action on their representation. Therefore, the prayer made by the learned counsel for issuance of directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into their representation is also not worth acceptance, as it also lacks all kinds of necessary particulars regarding manner and mode of alleged threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

23.02.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No