

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(251)

CRM-M-6188-2020

Date of decision:- 06.09.2021

Parminder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S.Bhalla, Advocate for the complainant.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

This is the second petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.133 dated 03.11.2019 under Sections 324, 323, 341 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 307 IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur.

On 12.02.2020, this Court passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there was a cross fight and a cross case vide DDR No.002 dated 04.11.2019 was registered at 01.10 A.M. on the complaint of the petitioner and her husband Mandeep Singh. He further submitted that even the petitioner has suffered injuries and he has made reference to the MLR Annexure P4. Still further, he submitted that it is yet to be determined as to which the (SIC) parties are the aggressor.

Notice of motion for 20.03.2020.

At this stage, Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his Power of Attorney.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would be present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Upon instructions, from ASI Jaspal Singh and by referring to order dated 10.08.2021 passed by this Court, Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is no longer required for custodial interrogation. He has further instructions to state that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

In view of the above facts, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Order dated 12.02.2020 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

06.09.2021
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No