

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.25729 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M No.7099 of 2020
Date of Decision : 25.08.2021**

Baldev Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Jammu, Advocate
for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Additional A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.25729 of 2021

Prayer in this application is for preponement of the date of hearing in the main case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the main case is taken up on Board today itself for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M No.7099 of 2020

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.250, dated 08.07.2017, under Sections 323/324/294/506/148/149

of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant/respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon, vide report dated 10.12.2020 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and out of free will of the parties.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel, who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.250, dated 08.07.2017, under Sections 323/324/294/506/148/149 of the

Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

25.08.2021
rittu

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No