

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1852-2021

Date of decision:-24.2.2021

Rajni Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf
of respondents No.1 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
had submitted representation to In-charge Police Station Sector-12,
Gurugram endorsing copies to Commissioner of Police, Gurugram
(respondent No.4) and Women Police Station, Gurugram, copy of such
representation being Annexure P1 but such representation has not been
disposed of by the police authorities so far. He further submits that the

petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4—
Commissioner of Police, Gurugram to consider her representation
Annexure P-1 and take appropriate action in the matter.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents No.1 to 5
would abide by any such order passed by this Court.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing
the respondent No.4— Commissioner of Police, Gurugram to consider the
representation Annexure P1 and to take necessary action in the matter, in
accordance with law if it is so warranted by facts and circumstances of the
case.

24.2.2021
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No