

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 5950 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 03.09.2021

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 852 dated 06.10.2018, under Sections 3, 4 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908, registered at Police Station Assandh, Karnal.

The operative part of the order dated 11.02.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was granted regular bail and he was facing the trial, however, on account of noting a wrong date, he could not appear before the trial Court on 07.01.2020, subsequent to which, his bail/surety bonds have been cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court and face the trial.

Notice of motion for 31.03.2020....”

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 11.02.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has deposited the costs. It is further submitted that from the period of last 01 year, the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 11.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

03.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No