

(In virtual Court)

CWP No.12208 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.07.2021

Nirmala Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWATPresent: Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 18.09.2019 (P-8) of the petitioner within stipulated period and also directing the respondents to grant the entire consequential benefits of the service of the husband of the petitioner as the husband of the petitioner has worked with the department since 01.02.2003 and expired during the service period. It is further prayed that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner and take the final decision on the legal notice of the petitioner dated 18.09.2019 (Annexure P-8) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within a time bound frame.

At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if the respondents take a conscious decision on the legal notice of the petitioner dated 18.09.2019 (Annexure P-8) and pass a speaking order thereon, within a time bound frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Even counsel for the respondents is not averse to the expeditious disposal of the claim of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 18.09.2019 (Annexure P-8) and take a conscious decision thereon by passing a speaking order; within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

It is further ordered that if the petitioner is found entitled to any pecuniary benefits upon such a conscious decision; then the said pecuniary benefits shall also be released to the petitioner within a further period of three months; from the date of such conscious decision of the authorities.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

09.07.2021
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No