

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-8620-2021

Date of decision : 24.02.2021.

Harpinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 11.02.2021 whereby respondent No.2 has been granted anticipatory bail by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against respondent No.2 are serious as he has cheated the complainant and therefore, he was not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Heard through video conferencing.

It is apparent that the allegations have been levelled primarily against co-accused Charanjit Singh who is alleged to have taken money on the pretext of sending the complainant abroad. The money is stated to have been paid in cash. With the intervention of the *Panchayat*, the matter appeared to have been settled and cheques are stated to have been issued by co-accused Charanjit Singh which were dishonoured. There is no specific allegation against respondent No.2 and he has been roped in because he is stated to be cousin of the co-accused Charanjit Singh. As there are no specific allegations against

respondent No.2 and he has been primarily roped in because he is a relative of the co-accused, I do not find any infirmity in the order granting anticipatory bail to him.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 24, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No