

CRM-M-8210-2021

Date of Decision : 01.09.2021

Jagraj Singh@ Gaji

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. V.B. Godara, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 218 dated 12.09.2020 registered under Sections 323, 325 and 506 IPC at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.
3. On 22.02.2021, the following order was passed:-

“ The matter has been taken up for hearing through videoconferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that apart from the fact that there is a delay of one day in lodging the present FIR, all the offences except the offence under Section 506 IPC, are bailable.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG Haryana, has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 12.05.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on ad interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.PC.”

4. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner contends while learned State counsel on instructions from *HC Gurpan Singh* confirms that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 22.02.2021, the petitioner joined investigation, fully cooperated with the police, was released on ad interim pre arrest bail and that his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 22.02.2021, passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. However, nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

6. Petition stands *disposed of* in the aforementioned terms.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.09.2021
“Rajneesh/Amit”

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>