

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1765-2021

Date of Decision: 19.02.2021

Harpreet and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from private respondent Nos.4 and 5, as they have solemnized marriage on 17.02.2021 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parents of the petitioners were well aware that the petitioners have a liking for each other, but as they were against their marriage, so they were extending threats to them.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a complaint has been given by respondent No.4 to the police, however, he is not aware if, any formal FIR has been registered against petitioner No.1.

After hearing the learned counsel, this Court finds that respondent No.4 has adopted a remedy in law and, therefore, alleged apprehension of threat expressed by the petitioners in their representation is

baseless, as no particulars of the alleged manner and mode of threat are contained in it.

No ground is made out for invoking the extraordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

19.02.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No