

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-8337-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.03.2021

Santosh Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rahul Rathore, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh K. Ambavta, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Bhagirath.

Mr. Ranbir Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.595 dated 13.07.2018 at Police Station Civil Line, Karnal, under Sections 380/406/409/415/420/467/468/471/120-B/34 IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the instant case, the parties have amicably resolved their issues and have entered into a compromise and in fact a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise has already been filed in this Court, wherein notice of motion has been issued.
3. Mr. Ranbir Singh, Advocate, who is present on behalf of the complainant, has also endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that as per the compromise the petitioner is required to pay

an amount of Rs.2,08,00,000/- to the complainant and out of which an amount of Rs.70,00,000/- has already been paid, while the remaining is to be paid in two installments i.e. in the month of March, 2021 and another in May, 2021.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the petition on the ground that there are serious allegations.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. No doubt, there are allegations of cheating the complainant of huge amount, but since the matter has been amicably resolved and since the complainant has categorically stated that he has no objection for grant of bail to the petitioner provided he honours the terms of compromise, the instant petition is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
7. The petitioner shall, however, honour the terms of compromise, as have been agreed amongst the parties, failing which it shall be open to the complainant to move a petition for cancellation of bail.

01.03.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**