

CRM-M-8150-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8150-2021

Date of decision: 22.02.2021

Rita Rai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. K.D.S.Hooda, Advocate and
Mr. Sukhbir Singh Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 14.08.2019 (Annexure P-5) passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat, vide which an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C., filed by the complainant, has been allowed and the petitioner has been summoned to face the trial as an additional accused in FIR No.778 dated 07.07.2017, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no document indicating the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offences. The police had thoroughly investigated the matter and on finding nothing incriminating against the petitioner, the police had not

CRM-M-8150-2021

challaned her.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while relying upon a decision dated 22.03.2016 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-15173-2012, contends that the impugned order is revisable one. He, thus, contends that the present petition is not maintainable.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the appropriate forum, in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

22.02.2021

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No