

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4082-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.02.2021**

Dr. Sarbjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjabi University Patiala and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioner is presently serving as Assistant Professor Grade-II, Economics, under the Punjabi University, Patiala.

Precise grievance raised in the petition is that the previous service rendered by the petitioner at L.R. Institute of Legal Studies, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, had been counted by the respondent-University while granting benefit of promotion from the post of Assistant Professor Grade-I to Grade-II.

However, for purposes of further promotion as Assistant Professor Grade-III, Associate Professor (Stage-IV) and Professor, the same very benefit of past service is not being reckoned.

At this stage counsel submits that he would be satisfied if the writ petition were to be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner in the light of a legal notice dated 11.12.2020(Annexure P-15) that has already been submitted.

Submission advanced by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harmeet Singh, Advocate for Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate, enters appearance on behalf of contesting respondents i.e. respondents No.1 to 3 and waives service. A complete copy of the petition already stands furnished.

Counsel representing the respondents-University, does not oppose the prayer made by counsel for disposal of the writ petition and directions to be issued for a final decision to be taken on the legal notice.

In view of the above, there would be no requirement for seeking a written response to the writ petition.

Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of legal notice dated 11.12.2020 (Annexure P-15) and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The final decision to be taken would be in terms of a speaking order and the same be conveyed to the petitioner within the stipulated time frame.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

22.02.2021

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No