

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M NO.5734 of 2020

Date of Decision:15.07.2021

BALJIT SINGH WALIA

.....**Petitioner**

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. G.S Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

At the very outset it is to be noted that the words "to be" are missing between the words "nothing is" and word "recovered". Last line of Para 1 of the order dated 10.02.2020 is ordered to be corrected to read that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.22 dated 17.01.2020 registered under Sections 294, 384, 506 and 511 IPC at Police Station Baldev Nagar,

Ambala, District Ambala.

On 10.02.2020, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in question is a counter blast to the complaints already lodged by the petitioner against the complainant. The prayer for anticipatory bail has been declined merely on the ground that the offence committed by the petitioner is grave in nature and without specifying as to for what purpose interrogation is required particularly when nothing is recovered from the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 22.4.2020.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 18.2.2020 at 11.00 a.m. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of order date 10.02.2020, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 10.02.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he

shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)
Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

15.07.2021

Amandeep

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No