

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1752-2021

Date of decision-19.02.2021

Charanjit Kaur and another**...Petitioners**

Vs.

State of Punjab and others**...Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Articles 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7 as they have solemnized marriage on 16.02.2021 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioners have entered into marriage on 16.02.2021 against the wishes of private respondents (parents and brothers of petitioner No.1) and are receiving threats. He submits that representation was given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Anenxure P-5) on the same day i.e. on 16.02.2021, however, till date no protection has been provided, therefore, he prays for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide protection to the petitioners.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court finds that as per the averments, the petitioners are in love for the last two years and this fact is known to the father of Charanjit Kaur (petitioner No.1),

but the allegations of threats to the petitioners took place on 16.02.2021 i.e. the date of marriage of the petitioners. A perusal of the marriage certificate (Anenxure P-3) indicates that the petitioners solemnized the marriage at 10.15 a.m. at Mohali and on the same day, they had given representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar and a copy to SHO, P.S.Lopoke, Tehsil Ajnala. Strangely, on the same day, the petitioners contacted their lawyer for filing the writ petition and supported the pleadings with an affidavit dated 16.02.2021. Apart from it, the representation dated 16.02.2021 (Annexure P-5) also lacks all kinds of necessary particulars regarding manner and mode of alleged threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.02.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No