

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7924 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.2.2021

...

Rajinder Kumar @ Raju

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Arshit Goel, Advocate for

Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4.

At this stage, Mr. J.S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of State-respondents No. 1 to 4.

Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has submitted a written representation to Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar and Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar, dated 8.2.2021 regarding this matter, but it has not been disposed of. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the official respondents to decide his representation.

Learned State counsel states that the official respondents

would comply with any such direction issued by the Court.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar, to look into the representation of the petitioner, copy Anneuxre P-4 and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then needful be done in accordance with law.

19.2.2021
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether reportable Yes / Noi