

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4081-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:08.03.2021**

Shiv Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State Public Information Commissioner & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition was filed seeking mandamus for directing the respondents to provide information in terms of order dated 20.10.2020 (Annexure P-3) passed by the State Information Commissioner, Haryana.

On the previous date of hearing, counsel had brought to the notice of the Court that inspite of a particular date and time having been fixed by the State Information Commission, Haryana, the SPIO in question did not permit such inspection. In the light of such categoric submission, learned State counsel had been directed to complete instructions.

During the course of resumed hearing today, Mr. Sharan Sethi, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana upon instructions from the 2nd respondent submits that subject to the petitioner depositing the requisite

fee, the information would be supplied by respondent No.3. Further stated that the order passed by the State Information Commission, Haryana as regards petitioner being permitted inspection of the record, the same also would be duly complied with.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

08.03.2021

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |