

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5758-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 19, 2021

Jagdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in cross case bearing GD No. 32 dated 12.06.2018 under Sections 323, 324, 34, 326 IPC in FIR No. 66 dated 11.06.2018 under Sections 323, 324, 34, IPC registered at Police Station Amargarh District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner urges that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case while further contending that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State would submit that since the matter has been compromised between the parties and quashing petition has been filed, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would

not be required in the matter as no recovery is to be made from him.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the paper book.

Admittedly, the matter has been compromised between the parties and the connected quashing petition has been filed, wherein the parties were sent for recording their statement regarding the genuineness of the compromise and a report has been received regarding the compromise. No useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars, as no recovery is to be effected from him. Since the cross-case as well as the present FIR stand quashed, simultaneously, presence of the petitioner would not be required anymore by the police for any purpose, no orders are called for.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

19.01.2021
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No