

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 1655 of 2021
DECIDED ON: 24th FEBRUARY, 2021

MEENU AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. B.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference
due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official
respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the
private respondents arrayed in the petition. The petitioners had performed
marriage against the wishes of their parents

On 18th February, 2021, the following order was passed:-

*“ The matter is taken up for hearing through video
conference due to COVID-19 situation.*

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

*Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab appearing on advance
notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.*

Put up on 24th February, 2021.

In the meantime, the police official not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

Learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of an affidavit of Palwinder Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (West), Jalandhar through electronic media. The print out of the status report is taken on record.

Para 2 of the status report is quoted below:

“2. That it is humbly submitted that after receiving the impugned order dated 18.02.2021, the answering respondent called both the petitioners and respondent no. 4 to 6 in his office and thoroughly enquired the matter qua threats perception alleged to be given by the private respondents no. 4 to 6 to the petitioners. However, on dated 21.02.2021, the petitioners voluntarily suffered statement before the answering respondent that they do not want to take police protection as they have no danger from the respondent no. 4 to 6 and now they are living happily. Since, the petitioners themselves stated before the police that they have no danger to their life from respondent no. 4 to 6 as such the present petition is liable to be dismissed. The true translated copy of the statement of petitioners is annexed as Annexure R-1/T and Annexure R-2/T.” ”

In view of the status report filed, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing with the present petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

24rd FEBRUARY, 2021
sham

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No