

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5632-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.03.2021

Ajay Jindal @ Ajay Kumar Jindal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate,
for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)
CRM-8059-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-5632-2020

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.06, dated 22.02.2019, under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women Cell Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. The complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide order dated 22.01.2020 passed by the Principal Judge Family

Court, Bathinda (Annexure P-2) is duly signed by both the parties. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phul, report dated 20.03.2020, apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by her Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not dispute the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phul, in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.06, dated 22.02.2019, under Sections 498-A and 406 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

22.03.2021

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
2. Whether reportable: No