

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5509-2020
Date of decision-19.08.2021**

VED PARKASH**...Petitioner**

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA**...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.285 dated 25.09.2019 under Section 61 Punjab Excise Act, 1914 & (under Sections 420, 467, 468 added later on) Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Lakhan Majra District Rohtak. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 07.02.2020, whereby, while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged recovery was effected from the co-accused, namely, Pawan son of Kanwar Singh and the petitioner has been indicted as an accused upon

his disclosure statement. It is further pointed out that the concession of interim pre-arrest bail was extended to the petitioner by the Court of Sessions, however, subsequently, the petition was dismissed on the ground that the petitioner is not cooperating with the investigation. According to him, in the given facts, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary.

Notice of motion for 28.05.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Kaptan Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 07.02.2020 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.08.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No