

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7836-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.02.2021**

Paramjeet Kaur @ Pinki

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh K. Ambavta, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Ramesh.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against her vide FIR No.269 dated 24.05.2020 at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, under Sections 323/302/452/460/506/34 IPC.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Harjit Singh, wherein it is alleged that he is having a dispute with Jaswinder Singh pertaining to passage leading to the fields, which is pending in the Court. It has been alleged that about 15 days back, Jaswinder Singh after consuming liquor had hurled abuses in the street and the said matter was reported to the Sarpanch. It is further alleged that on 23.05.2020, when he reached home after closing his shop at about 9.30 PM, Jaswinder Singh armed with a

stick, Mandeep Singh armed with iron rod, Rajender Singh armed with a stick and Pinki entered into their house after scaling a common wall and started hurling abuses and stated that the complainant be taught a lesson for having raised a dispute regarding passage. Rajinder is alleged to have inflicted a blow with stick on complainant's forehead. Jaswinder Singh gave a blow with *lathi* on complainant's arms. Thereafter, Rajinder and Jaswinder gave stick blows on the head, shoulder and left leg of Sukhwinder Singh. Mandeep is alleged to have given a blow with iron rod on the head of complainant's mother. Pinki is stated to have given slaps and fist blows to complainant's mother. When the complainant and others raised alarm, Gurpreet Singh came to the spot and thereafter the accused fled away while issuing threats to kill them. It is further the case of the prosecution that mother of the complainant died in the hospital, while others sustained injuries.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that she has falsely been implicated in the instant case simply in order to rope in large number of persons from the family of the accused. It has further been submitted that in any case the petitioner is not alleged to be carrying any weapon and is attributed slaps and fist blows only.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and is also attributed slaps and fist blows to the deceased, no case for grant of bail is made out. Learned State counsel has, however, informed

that the petitioner as on date has been behind bars since the last about 8 months and 26 days and is not involved in any other case.

5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the fact that the petitioner is a lady and is attributed slaps and fist blows only and while noticing that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last more than 8 months, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial will take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

23.02.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**